

2.1

4. Kindly note that the number of the teachers joined beyond the assessment period, should not be considered. Please relook and provide the correct revise data at all places i.e. HEI input and in the data template.

Response:Certificate/Declaration

We respect the observation of DVV partners. But we wish to describe our reason for the same:

In the standardized data template in SSR for this Metric (2.4.2), following four kinds of mandatory information (data) is provided by us:

- 2.1. Number of full-time teachers during the last five years (Without repeat count)
- 2.2 Number of full-time teachers year wise during the last five years (Year 2021-22, 2020-21, 2019-20, 2018-19, 2017-18 as these years were applicable to us).
- 2.4.2 a) Provide information on full time teachers presently working in the institutions.
- 2.1 b) Number of full-time teachers who left the institution during the last five years (Year 2021-22, 2020-21, 2019-20, 2018-19, 2017-18 as these years were applicable to us).

We already have not counted any such full-time faculty member (whose date of joining is after August 2022) in the last five assessment years applicable to us. It means, our claim for both the student-teacher ratio in latest completed academic year as well as the percentage of full-time teachers against sanctioned posts during the last five years is correct in SSR.

However, few teachers are listed whose joining date is after July 2022 under the heading “2.4.2 a): Information on full time teachers presently working in the institutions”. This is correct that these teachers joined after July 2022 and are currently working in the Institute, so we listed them under this heading. So, we request DVV partners to consider these teachers under currently working teachers in the institute (and not in any previous five assessment years).

*S. R. Kabat*  
22/8/2023

Dr.S.R. Kabat  
(Principal)

Radhakrishna Institute of Technology  
and Engineering, Bhubaneswar