



# Radhakrishna Institute of Technology and Engineering

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to BijuPattnaik University of Technology, Rourkela, Odisha)

Plot No. 01, IDCO Industrial Estate, Barunei, Khordha-752057, Odisha

E-mail: ritecodisha@gmail.com

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	2nd (CSE)
Course	B.Tech	Date of Feedback	27.05.2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Sub1: PHY	Sub2: MATH	Sub3: BEE	Sub4: EM	Sub5: BCE	Sub6: ETW	Sub7: Soft skill & Stress Mgmt
		Faculty: Dr. Jitendra Ku Kar	Faculty: Dr. Ashima M Dash	Faculty: Dr. Subas R Kabat	Faculty: Prof. Bimal R Pattanaik	Faculty: Prof. Nibedita Behera	Faculty: Prof. Sanjay Ku. Barik	Faculty: Prof. Suryakanta Panda
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	5	5	5	5	5	4	5
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	4	4	4	5	5	4	5
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	5	4	5	4	5	4	5
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content							
	(b) Communication skills							
	(c) Use of teaching aids							
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	4	5	5	5	4	5	5
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	5	5	5	5	5	4	5
6	Support for the development of students' skill	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	(i) Practical Demonstration							
	(ii) Hands on training							
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	5	5	5	4	5	5	5
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	5	5	5	5	5	4	4
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
Total		42	43	45	43	45	40	45

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

<b>Academic Year</b>	2025-26	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	2nd (CGE)
<b>Course</b>	B.Tech	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	27.06.2020

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.

2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

SL No.	Parameters	Sub1: PHY	Sub2: MATH	Sub3: BEE	Sub4: EM	Sub5: BCE	Sub6: ETW	Sub7: Soft skill & Stress Mgmt
		Faculty: Dr. Jitendra Ku Kar	Faculty: Dr. Ashima M Dash	Faculty: Dr. Subas R Kabat	Faculty: Prof. Bimal R Pattanaik	Faculty: Prof. Nibedita Behera	Faculty: Prof. Sanjay Ku. Barik	Faculty: Prof. Suryakanta Panda
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	5	4	5	4	5	4	5
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	4	5	4	5	4	5	4
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	5	4	5	4	5	4	5
	(a) Technical Content Course Content							
	(b) Communication skills							
	(c) Use of teaching aids							
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	4	5	4	5	4	5	4
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	5	4	5	4	5	4	5
6	Support for the development of students' skill	4	5	5	5	4	5	4
	(i) Practical Demonstration							
	(ii) Hands on training							
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	5	4	4	4	5	4	5
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	4	5	5	5	4	5	4
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	5	4	4	4	5	4	5
	<b>Total</b>	41	40	41	40	50	40	41

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	2nd
Course	B.TECH	Date of Feedback	27/05/2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.

2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Lab1: PHY	Lab2: BEE	Lab3: EGD	Lab4: CERW	
		Faculty: Dr. Jitendra Ku Kar	Faculty: Prof. Pragyanjit Jena(G1)	Faculty: Prof. Priyadarshinee Das(G2)	Faculty: Prof. Prateek Das(G1)	Faculty: Prof. Bimal R Pattanaik(G2)
1	All equipments were in working condition.	05		05	05	05
2	The lab manuals were available.	04		05	05	04
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	05		04	05	04
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her ownhands.	04		04	05	05
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	05		05	04	05
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	04		05	05	05
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	05		05	04	05
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	04		05	05	04
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	05		04	04	05
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	04		04	05	04
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	05		05	05	05
	<b>Total</b>	50		51	52	41

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	2nd (CSE)
Course	B.TECH	Date of Feedback	27-05-2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Lab1: PHY	Lab2: BEE	Lab3: EGD	Lab4: CERW	
		Faculty: Dr. Jitendra Ku Kar	Faculty: Prof. Pragyant Jena(G1)	Faculty: Prof. Priyadarshinee Das(G2)	Faculty: Prof. Prateek Das(G1)	Faculty: Prof. Bimal R Pattanaik(G2)
1	All equipments were in working condition.	5	5	5	5	
2	The lab manuals were available.	4	4	5	5	
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	5	5	4	5	
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.	4	5	5	5	
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	5	4	5	5	
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	5	5	5	5	
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	5	5	5	5	
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	5	5	5	5	
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	5	5	4	5	
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	5	5	5	5	
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	5	5	5	4	
<b>Total</b>		53	53	53	54	

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

<b>Academic Year</b>	2025-26	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	8 <sup>th</sup> /ME
<b>Course</b>	B.Tech	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	6.5.26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Labatory: Major Project
		Faculties: Dr. S.K. Pradhan, Prof. B.R.Pattanaik
1	All equipments were in working condition.	05
2	The lab manuals were available.	04
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	05
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.	05
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	05
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	05
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	04
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	05
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	05
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	05
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	05
	<b>Total</b>	53



## Radhakrishna Institute of Technology and Engineering

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to BijuPattnaik University of Technology, Rourkela, Odisha)

Plot No.-01, IDCO Industrial Estate, Barunei, Khordha-752057, Odisha

E-mail: [riteodisha@gmail.com](mailto:riteodisha@gmail.com)

### STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	8 <sup>th</sup> /ME
Course	B.Tech	Date of Feedback	6.9.20

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Labotary: Major Project	
		Faculties: Dr. S.K. Pradhan, Prof. B.R.Pattanaik	
1	All equipments were in working condition.	05	
2	The lab manuals were available.	05	
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	05	
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.	05	
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	05	
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	05	
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	05	
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	04	
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	05	
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	05	
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	05	
	<b>Total</b>	54	

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

<b>Academic Year</b>	2025-26	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	6 <sup>th</sup> /ME
<b>Course</b>	B.Tech	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	2.5.26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Subject 1: ICEGT	Subject 2: MV	Subject 3: PDPT	Subject 4: AE	Subject 5: BM	Subject 6: ISE
		Faculty: Prof. P. Das	Faculty: Dr. C.B. Malla	Faculty: Dr. S.K. Pradhan	Faculty: Prof. B.R. Pattanaik	Faculty: Prof. B. Sahoo	Faculty: Prof. B.R. Pattanaik
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	05	04	05	05	05	04
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	04	05	05	05	04	05
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	05	05	04	04	05	04
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content						
	(b) Communication skills						
	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	04	04	04	04	04	05
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	05	05	05	05	05	05
6	Support for the development of students' skill	05	05	04	04	05	05
	(i) Practical Demonstration						
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	04	05	05	05	05	05
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	05	04	05	05	05	05
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	05	05	05	05	04	05
	<b>Total</b>	42	42	42	42	42	43

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	6 <sup>th</sup> /ME
Course	B.Tech	Date of Feedback	2.5.26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Subject 1: ICEGT	Subject 2: MV	Subject 3: PDPT	Subject 4: AE	Subject 5: BM	Subject 6: ISE
		Faculty: Prof. P. Das	Faculty: Dr. C.B. Malla	Faculty: Dr. S.K. Pradhan	Faculty: Prof. B.R. Pattanaik	Faculty: Prof. B. Sahoo	Faculty: Prof. B.R. Pattanaik
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus prescribed by University.	05	04	05	05	05	05
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	05	04	05	04	05	05
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	05	05	05	05	04	04
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content						
	(b) Communication skills						
	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	05	05	05	04	05	04
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	05	05	04	05	04	05
6	Support for the development of students' skill	05	05	05	04	04	05
	(i) Practical Demonstration						
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	05	05	05	05	05	05
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	04	05	05	04	05	05
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	05	05	05	05	05	05
	<b>Total</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>43</b>

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

<b>Academic Year</b>	<b>2025-26</b>	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	<b>4<sup>th</sup>/ME</b>
<b>Course</b>	<b>B. Tech</b>	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	18.05.26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Subject 1: DME-I	Subject 2: FMIIM	Subject 3: BMP	Subject 4: KDM	Subject 5: ACC-2 (BDIM)	Subject 6: EE
		Faculty: Dr. S.K. Pradhan	Faculty: Prof. P. Das	Faculty: Prof. P. Das	Faculty: Dr. C.B. Malla	Faculty: Prof. A. Nath	Faculty: Prof. S. Mohapatra
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus prescribed by University.	05	05	04	05	05	05
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	05	04	04	05	05	05
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	05	05	05	04	05	04
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content						
	(b) Communication skills						
	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	04	04	05	05	04	05
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	05	05	05	05	05	05
6	Support for the development of students' skill	04	05	05	04	05	04
	(i) Practical Demonstration						
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	05	05	04	05	05	05
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	05	05	05	05	04	05
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	05	05	05	05	05	04
	<b>Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	4 <sup>th</sup> /ME
Course	B. Tech	Date of Feedback	18.05.26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

SL No.	Parameters	Subject 1: DME-I	Subject 2: FMHM	Subject 3: BMP	Subject 4: KDM	Subject 5: ACC-2 (BDIM)	Subject 6: EE
		Faculty: Dr. S.K. Pradhan	Faculty: Prof. P. Das	Faculty: Prof. P. Das	Faculty: Dr. C.B. Malla	Faculty: Prof. A. Nath	Faculty: Prof. S. Mohapatra
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus prescribed by University.	5	5	5	5	5	5
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	4	4	4	4	4	4
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	5	4	5	5	5	5
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content						
	(b) Communication skills						
	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	5	5	5	5	5	5
6	Support for the development of students' skill	4	5	4	4	4	4
	(i) Practical Demonstration						
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	5	4	5	5	5	5
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	5	4	4	4	4	4
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	4	5	5	5	5	5
	<b>Total</b>	41	40	41	41	41	41

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

<b>Academic Year</b>	<b>2025-26</b>	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup>/EE</b>
<b>Course</b>	<b>B.Tech</b>	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	<b>02/04/26</b>

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Subject: PS-II	Subject: PSP	Subject: DSP	Subject: RES	Subject: ISE	Subject: PE
		Faculty: Prof. Pragyajit Jena	Faculty: Prof. Priyadarsinee Das	Faculty: Prof. Rasmita Lenka	Faculty: Prof. Priyadarsinee Das	Faculty: Prof. Bimal Ranjan Pattnaik	Faculty: Prof. Suryakanta Panda
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	5	5	4	5	5	5
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	5	5	4	5	5	4
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:						
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content	5	4	4	5	5	4
	(b) Communication skills						
	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	5	4	5	4	4	5
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	4	4	5	4	4	4
6	Support for the development of students' skill	4	4	5	5	4	4
	(i) Practical Demonstration						
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	5	5	4	5	4	4
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	5	5	4	5	4	5
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	5	5	5	5	5	5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>40</b>

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

<b>Academic Year</b>	<b>2025-26</b>	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup>/EE</b>
<b>Course</b>	<b>B.Tech</b>	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	<b>9/4/26.</b>

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Subject: PS-II	Subject: PSP	Subject: DSP	Subject: RES	Subject: ISE	Subject: PE
		Faculty: Prof. Pragyantit Jena	Faculty: Prof. Priyadarsinee Das	Faculty: Prof. Rasmita Lenka	Faculty: Prof. Priyadarsinee Das	Faculty: Prof. Bimal Ranjan Pattnaik	Faculty: Prof. Suryakanta Panda
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	5	5	4	4	5	5
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	5	5	4	4	5	4
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:			4	4	4	4
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content	5	5				
	(b) Communication skills						
	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	5	5	5	4	4	4
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	4	5	5	4	4	7
6	Support for the development of students' skill	4		5	5	5	5
	(i) Practical Demonstration		5				
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	5	4	4	5	5	5
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	5	4	5	5	5	5
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	5	4	5	5	5	5
	<b>Total</b>	43	242	41	40	42	41



# Radhakrishna Institute of Technology and Engineering

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to BijuPattnaik University of Technology, Rourkela, Odisha)

Plot No.-01, IDCO Industrial Estate, Barunei, Khordha-752057, Odisha

E-mail: [riteodisha@gmail.com](mailto:riteodisha@gmail.com)

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	6 <sup>th</sup> /EE
Course	B.Tech	Date of Feedback	02/04/26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Laboratory: PPD-I	Laboratory: PS LAB	Laboratory: DSP Lab
		Faculty: Prof. Priyadarshinee Das	Faculty: Prof. Pragyanjit Jena	Faculty: Prof. Rasmita Lenka
1	All equipments were in working condition.	05	04	05
2	The lab manuals were available.	05	05	05
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	05	05	05
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.	05	05	05
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	05	04	05
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	04	05	04
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	05	05	04
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	05	05	05
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	05	04	04
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	05	05	05
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	05	04	05
<b>Total</b>		<b>54</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>53</b>



# Radhakrishna Institute of Technology and Engineering

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi. Affiliated to BijuPattnaik University of Technology, Rourkela, Odisha)

Plot No.-01, IDCO Industrial Estate, Barunei, Khordha-752057, Odisha

E-mail: [riteodisha@gmail.com](mailto:riteodisha@gmail.com)

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	6 <sup>th</sup> /EE
Course	B.Tech	Date of Feedback	22/04/26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Laboratory: PPD-I	Laboratory: PS LAB	Laboratory: DSP Lab
		Faculty: Prof. Priyadarshinee Das	Faculty: Prof. Pragyanjit Jena	Faculty: Prof. Rasmita Lenka
1	All equipments were in working condition.	05	04	05
2	The lab manuals were available.	05	05	05
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	04	04	05
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.	04	05	05
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	04	04	05
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	05	05	04
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	05	05	05
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	04	05	05
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	05	05	04
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	05	05	05
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	05	05	05
	<b>Total</b>	51	52	53

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	4th/EE
Course	B.Tech	Date of Feedback	13.04.26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Subject: PE	Subject: SS	Subject: EM-II	Subject: EM&I	Subject: EE	Subject: BDIM
		Faculty: Prof. Pragyant Jena	Faculty: Prof. Rasmita Lenka	Faculty: Prof. Priyadarsinee Das	Faculty: Prof. Pragyant Jena	Faculty: Prof. Swagatika Mohapatra	Faculty: Prof. Alok Nath
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	05	05	05	05	05	05
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	04	05	05	04	04	04
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	05	05	05	05	05	04
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content						
	(b) Communication skills						
4	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	04	05	05	04	04	04
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	04	05	05	04	05	05
6	Support for the development of students' skill	05	05	05	04	04	04
	(i) Practical Demonstration						
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	04	04	04	04	04	04
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	05	05	05	05	05	05
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	05	05	05	05	04	05
	<b>Total</b>	41	44	44	40	40	40

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	4th/EE
Course	B.Tech	Date of Feedback	23.04.2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Subject: PE	Subject: SS	Subject: EM-II	Subject: EM&I	Subject: EE	Subject: BDIM
		Faculty: Prof. Pragyant Jena	Faculty: Prof. Rasmita Lenka	Faculty: Prof. Priyadarsinee Das	Faculty: Prof. Pragyant Jena	Faculty: Prof. Swagatika Mohapatra	Faculty: Prof. Alok Nath
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	05	05	05	05	05	04
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	05	05	05	05	05	05
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	04	05	04	05	04	05
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content						
	(b) Communication skills						
	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	05	04	05	04	05	05
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	05	04	05	04	05	05
6	Support for the development of students' skill	04	05	04	05	04	04
	(i) Practical Demonstration						
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	05	05	05	05	05	05
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	05	04	05	04	05	05
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	04	05	05	05	05	05
	<b>Total</b>	42	42	43	42	43	43

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	4 <sup>th</sup> /EE
Course	B.Tech	Date of Feedback	23/4/2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Laboratory: EM-II	Laboratory: EM&I	Laboratory: S&S Lab	Laboratory: PE Lab
		Faculty: Prof. Priyadarshinee Das	Faculty: Prof. Priyadarshinee Das	Faculty: Prof. Rasmita Lenka	Faculty: Prof. Pragyanjit Jena
1	All equipments were in working condition.	05	05	05	05
2	The lab manuals were available.	05	04	04	04
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	04	05	05	05
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.	05	05	04	04
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	04	04	05	05
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	05	05	05	04
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	04	04	04	05
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	05	05	05	04
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	04	04	04	05
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	05	05	05	04
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	04	04	04	05
<b>Total</b>		<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>



# Radhakrishna Institute of Technology and Engineering

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to BijuPattnaik University of Technology, Rourkela, Odisha)

Plot No.-01, IDCO Industrial Estate, Barunei, Khordha-752057, Odisha

E-mail: riteodisha@gmail.com

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	4 <sup>th</sup> /EE
Course	B.Tech	Date of Feedback	23.07.26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Laboratory: EM-II	Laboratory: EM&I	Laboratory: S&S Lab	Laboratory: PE Lab
		Faculty: Prof. Priyadarshinee Das	Faculty: Prof. Priyadarshinee Das	Faculty: Prof. Rasmita Lenka	Faculty: Prof. Pragnanjit Jena
1	All equipments were in working condition.	04	04	04	04
2	The lab manuals were available.	04	04	04	04
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	04	04	04	04
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.	05	05	05	05
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	05	05	05	05
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	05	05	05	05
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	04	04	05	04
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	05	05	05	05
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	05	05	05	05
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	05	05	05	05
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	05	05	05	05
	<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>51</b>

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-2026	Semester/ Branch	6 <sup>TH</sup>
Course	CSE	Date of Feedback	22.04.2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.

2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Subject	Data Mining & Data Warehousing	Industrial Safety Engineering	Compiler Design	Internet of Things	Software Engineering	Professional Ethics
		Faculty	Prof. Sucharita Nathsharma	Prof. Bimal Ranjan Pattnaik	Prof. Priyanka Shit	Prof. Jashasmita Pal	Prof. Kamalakanta Pradhan	Prof. Suryakanta Panda
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.		05	05	04	05	05	04
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.		04	05	04	05	05	04
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:		04	05	05	04	05	04
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content							
	(b) Communication skills							
4	(c) Use of teaching aids							
4	Pace on which contents were covered.		05	04	04	04	05	05
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.		04	05	05	05	05	05
6	Support for the development of students' skill		04	05	05	05	05	04
	(i) Practical Demonstration							
	(ii) Hands on training							
7	Clarity of expectation of students.		05	05	05	05	04	05
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.		05	05	05	06	05	04
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.		05	05	05	05	05	05
	<b>Total</b>		41	44	42	43	44	40



# Radhakrishna Institute of Technology and Engineering

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to BijuPattnaik University of Technology, Rourkela, Odisha)

Plot No.-01, IDCO Industrial Estate, Barunei, Khordha-752057, Odisha

E-mail: [riteodisha@gmail.com](mailto:riteodisha@gmail.com)

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-2026	Semester/ Branch	6 <sup>TH</sup>
Course	CSE	Date of Feedback	22.04.2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Subject	Data Mining & Data Warehousing	Industrial Safety Engineering	Compiler Design	Internet of Things	Software Engineering	Professional Ethics
		Faculty	Prof. Sucharita Nathsharma	Prof. Bimal Ranjan Pattnaik	Prof. Priyanka Shit	Prof. Jashasmita Pal	Prof. Kamalakanta Pradhan	Prof. Suryakanta Panda
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.		05	05	05	05	05	05
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.		04	05	04	05	05	04
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:		05	04	05	05	05	05
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content							
	(b) Communication skills							
4	(c) Use of teaching aids		05	05	05	05	05	05
	Pace on which contents were covered.							
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.		04	05	05	04	05	05
6	Support for the development of students' skill		05	05	04	05	04	05
	(i) Practical Demonstration							
	(ii) Hands on training							
7	Clarity of expectation of students.		05	05	05	05	04	05
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.		05	04	04	05	05	04
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.		05	05	05	05	05	05
	<b>Total</b>		43	43	42	44	43	43

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-2026	Semester/ Branch	4 <sup>th</sup>
Course	CSE	Date of Feedback	26/05/2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.

2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5-point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Subject	Database Engineering Lab	Computer Organization and Architecture Lab	Design and Analysis of Algorithms Lab	Advanced Programming Lab
		Faculty	Prof. Choudhuri Saswat Pattnaik	Prof. Kamalakanta Pradhan	Prof. Sucharita Natbasarma	Prof. Jashasmita Pal
1	All equipment's were in working condition.		2	4	3	3
2	The lab manuals were available.		2	5	3	2
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.		3	3	4	3
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.		2	4	3	4
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.		4	3	4	2
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.		2	2	3	4
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.		3	4	4	3
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.		2	3	2	4
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.		3	2	3	2
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.		1	4	2	3
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.		3	2	3	2
	<b>Total</b>		<b>27</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>



# Radhakrishna Institute of Technology and Engineering

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to BijuPattnaik University of Technology, Rourkela, Odisha)

Plot No.-01, IDCO Industrial Estate, Barunei, Khordha-752057, Odisha

E-mail: [riteodisha@gmail.com](mailto:riteodisha@gmail.com)

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-2026	Semester/ Branch	4 <sup>th</sup>
Course	CSE	Date of Feedback	26.05.26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.

2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5-point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Subject	Database Engineering Lab	Computer Organization and Architecture Lab	Design and Analysis of Algorithms Lab	Advanced Programming Lab
			Prof. Choudhuri Saswat Pattnaik	Prof. Kamalakanta Pradban	Prof. Sucharita Nathasarma	Prof. Jashasmita Pal
1	All equipment's were in working condition.		5	5	5	5
2	The lab manuals were available.		5	5	5	5
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.		5	5	5	5
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.		5	5	5	5
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.		5	5	5	5
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.		5	5	5	5
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.		5	5	5	5
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.		5	5	5	5
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.		5	5	5	5
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.		5	5	5	5
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.		5	5	5	5
	<b>Total</b>		55	55	55	55

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-2026	Semester/ Branch	4 <sup>th</sup>
Course	CSE	Date of Feedback	26/05/2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.

2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5-point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Subject	Discrete Mathematics	Computer Organization & Architecture	Design and Analysis of Algorithms	Database Engineering	Big Data Integration & Management	Engineering Economics
		Faculty	Dr. Asima Manjari Dash	Prof. Kamalakanta Pradhan	Prof. Sucharita Nathsarma	Prof. Choudhuri Saswat Pattnaik	Prof. Aloka Natha	Prof. Swagatika Mohapatra
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by university.		04	04	05	05	03	03
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.		03	03	04	04	05	05
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:							
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content		04	04	03	03	05	05
	(b) Communication skills							
	(c) Use of teaching aids							
4	Pace on which contents were covered.		05	04	02	05	04	04
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.		03	03	05	03	03	03
6	Support for the development of students' skill							
	(i) Practical Demonstration		04	03	05	04	03	05
	(ii) Hands on training							
7	Clarity of expectation of students.		05	03	05	05	05	03
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.		03	03	05	02	04	03
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.		02	02	03	04	05	02
	<b>Total</b>		33	29	31	33	40	33

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-2026	Semester/ Branch	4 <sup>th</sup>
Course	CSE	Date of Feedback	26/05/2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5-point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Subject	Discrete Mathematics	Computer Organization & Architecture	Design and Analysis of Algorithms	Database Engineering	Big Data Integration & Management	Engineering Economics
		Faculty	Dr. Asima Manjari Dash	Prof. Kamalakanta Pradhan	Prof. Sucharita Nathsarma	Prof. Choudhuri Saswat Pattnaik	Prof. Aloka Natha	Prof. Swagatika Mohapatra
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by university.		4	5	5	4	5	5
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.		5	5	4	5	5	4
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:		4	5	5	4	4	5
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content							
	(b) Communication skills							
	(c) Use of teaching aids							
4	Pace on which contents were covered.		5	4	4	4	4	4
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.		5	5	4	4	5	4
6	Support for the development of students' skill		4	4	5	5	5	4
	(i) Practical Demonstration							
	(ii) Hands on training							
7	Clarity of expectation of students.		4	4	5	5	5	5
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.		5	5	5	4	5	4
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.		4	5	4	5	4	5
	<b>Total</b>		40	42	41	40	42	40



# Radhakrishna Institute of Technology and Engineering

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to Biju Pattnaik University of Technology, Rourkela, Odisha)

Plot No.-01, IDCO Industrial Estate, Barunei, Khordha-752057, Odisha

E-mail: [riteodisha@gmail.com](mailto:riteodisha@gmail.com)

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	4 <sup>th</sup> /Civil Engineering
Course	B Tech	Date of Feedback	26/5/26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	SA	FD	GTE	WSSE
		Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Nibedita Behera
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	5	5	5	5
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	5	5	5	5
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	4	5	5	4
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content				
	(b) Communication skills				
	(c) Use of teaching aids				
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	4	4	5	5
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	5	5	5	5
6	Support for the development of students' skill	5	5	4	4
	(i) Practical Demonstration				
	(ii) Hands on training				
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	4	5	5	5
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	5	5	5	4
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	5	4	5	5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>42</b>

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

<b>Academic Year</b>	<b>2025-26</b>	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	<b>4<sup>th</sup>/Civil Engineering</b>
<b>Course</b>	<b>B Tech</b>	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	28/5/26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	SA	FD	GTE	WSSE
		Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Nibedita Behera
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	05	04	04	05
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	05	05	05	05
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	05	05	05	05
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content				
	(b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids				
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	05	05	05	05
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	04	05	04	05
6	Support for the development of students' skill	05	04	05	05
	(i) Practical Demonstration (ii) Hands on training				
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	05	05	05	05
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	04	05	05	04
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	05	05	04	05
	<b>Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	4 <sup>th</sup> /Civil Engineering
Course	B Tech	Date of Feedback	26/5/20

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Survey Field Work	Geotechnical Laboratory	Water Supply & Sanitary Engineering Lab.	Computer Aided Design
		Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury
1	All equipments were in working condition.	5	5	5	5
2	The lab manuals were available.	5	5	5	5
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	5	5	5	5
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.	5	5	5	5
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	4	5	4	5
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	4	4	5	4
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	5	5	5	5
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	5	5	5	4
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	4	4	4	5
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	5	5	5	5
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	5	5	5	5
<b>Total</b>		52	53	53	53

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	4 <sup>th</sup> /Civil Engineering
Course	B Tech	Date of Feedback	20/5/26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Survey Field Work	Geotechnical Laboratory	Water Supply & Sanitary Engineering Lab.	Computer Aided Design
		Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury
1	All equipments were in working condition.	5	5	5	5
2	The lab manuals were available.	5	5	5	5
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	5	4	5	5
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.	5	5	5	5
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	5	5	5	5
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	5	5	4	5
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	5	5	5	5
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	5	5	5	5
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	04	5	5	4
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	5	5	5	5
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	5	4	4	5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>54</b>

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

<b>Academic Year</b>	<b>2025-26</b>	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	<b>6<sup>th</sup> / Civil Engineering</b>
<b>Course</b>	<b>B.Tech</b>	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	<i>29/04/2026</i>

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	DSS	DM	WRE	TE
		Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	<i>05</i>	<i>04</i>	<i>05</i>	<i>04</i>
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>	<i>04</i>	<i>05</i>
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	<i>05</i>		<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content		<i>05</i>		
	(b) Communication skills				
	(c) Use of teaching aids				
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	<i>05</i>	<i>04</i>	<i>05</i>	<i>04</i>
6	Support for the development of students' skill	<i>04</i>	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>
	(i) Practical Demonstration				
	(ii) Hands on training				
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>	<i>05</i>
	<b>Total</b>	<i>49</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>43</i>



## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

<b>Academic Year</b>	2025-26	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	6 <sup>th</sup> / Civil Engineering
<b>Course</b>	B .Tech	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	29/04/2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	DSS	DM	WRE	TE
		Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	5	5	5	5
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	5	5	5	5
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	5	5	5	5
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content				
	(b) Communication skills				
	(c) Use of teaching aids				
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	4	5	5	5
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	5	5	4	5
6	Support for the development of students' skill	5	4	5	4
	(i) Practical Demonstration				
	(ii) Hands on training				
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	5	5	5	5
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	4	5	5	5
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	5	5	5	4
	<b>Total</b>	43	44	44	43



## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	6 <sup>th</sup> / Civil Engineering
Course	B.Tech	Date of Feedback	29/04/2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	DSS Lab	PPD-1	WRD Lab
		Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Nibedita Behera
1	All equipments were in working condition.	05	05	05
2	The lab manuals were available.	04	05	04
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	05	05	05
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.	05	04	05
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	04	05	05
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	05	05	05
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	05	05	05
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	05	05	05
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	05	05	05
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	05	05	05
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	05	05	05
	<b>Total</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>54</b>



## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	6 <sup>th</sup> / Civil Engineering
Course	B .Tech	Date of Feedback	29/04/2020

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	DSS Lab	PPD-1	WRD Lab
		Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Nibedita Behera
1	All equipments were in working condition.	05	05	05
2	The lab manuals were available.	05	04	04
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	04	05	05
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.	05	05	05
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	05	04	05
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	05	05	05
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	05	05	05
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	05	05	05
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	05	05	05
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	05	05	05
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	05	05	05
Total		54	53	54

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

<b>Academic Year</b>	<b>2025-2026</b>	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	<b>4<sup>TH</sup></b>
<b>Course</b>	<b>MCA</b>	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	<b>24-22/04/2026</b>

24-22/04/26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Subject	SET-RITE	Software Project Management	Pre-Placement Training	Cyber Security and Cyber Laws	Soft Skill and Stress Management
		Faculty	Prof. Aloka Natha	Prof. Kamalakanta Pradhan	Dr. Asima Manjari Dash	Prof. Choudhuri Saswat Pattnaik	Prof. Sanjay Kumar Barik
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University		05	03	04	04	04
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus?		04	02	03	03	03
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:		04	04	03	03	03
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content		04	04	03	03	03
	(b) Communication skills						
	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.		04	04	03	04	03
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.		04	03	03	04	03
6	Support for the development of students' skill		03	03	04	03	03
	(i) Practical Demonstration						
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.		03	03	02	03	04
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress		03	02	03	03	04
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students		03	03	03	03	03
	<b>Total</b>		<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-2026	Semester/ Branch	4 <sup>TH</sup>
Course	MCA	Date of Feedback	22/04/2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Subject	SET-RITE	Software Project Management	Pre-Placement Training	Cyber Security and Cyber Laws	Soft Skill and Stress Management
		Faculty	Prof. Aloka Natha	Prof. Kamalakanta Pradhan	Dr. Asima Manjari Dash	Prof. Choudhuri Saswat Pattnaik	Prof. Sanjay Kumar Barik
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.		5	5	4	5	4
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus?		5	5	4	5	4
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:		5	5	5	5	4
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content		4	4	5	5	
	(b) Communication skills						
	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.		5	4	4	4	5
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.		5	5	5	5	4
6	Support for the development of students' skill		5	5	5	5	4
	(i) Practical Demonstration						
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.		5	5	5	4	5
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress		5	5	5	5	4
	Willingness to offer help and advice to students		5	5	5	5	4
	Total		49	48	47	48	38



## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

<b>Academic Year</b>	2025-26	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	4 <sup>th</sup> /Civil Engineering
<b>Course</b>	B Tech	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	26/5/26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	SA	FD	GTE	WSSE
		Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Nibedita Behera
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	5	5	5	5
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	5	5	5	5
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	4	5	5	4
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content				
	(b) Communication skills				
	(c) Use of teaching aids				
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	4	4	5	5
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	5	5	5	5
6	Support for the development of students' skill	5	5	4	4
	(i) Practical Demonstration				
	(ii) Hands on training				
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	4	5	5	5
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	5	5	5	4
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	5	4	5	5
	<b>Total</b>	42	43	44	42



## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	4 <sup>th</sup> /Civil Engineering
Course	B Tech	Date of Feedback	20/5/22

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Survey Field Work	Geotechnical Laboratory	Water Supply & Sanitary Engineering Lab.	Computer Aided Design
		Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury
1	All equipments were in working condition.	5	5	5	5
2	The lab manuals were available.	5	5	5	5
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	5	5	5	5
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.	5	5	5	5
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	4	5	4	5
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	4	4	5	4
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	5	5	5	5
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	5	5	5	4
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	4	4	4	5
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	5	5	5	5
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	5	5	5	5
<b>Total</b>		52	53	53	53



## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

<b>Academic Year</b>	<b>2025-26</b>	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	<b>4<sup>th</sup>/Civil Engineering</b>
<b>Course</b>	<b>B Tech</b>	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	<b>28/5/26</b>

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	SA	FD	GTE	WSSE
		Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Nibedita Behera
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	05	04	04	05
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	05	05	05	05
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	05	05	05	05
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content				
	(b) Communication skills				
	(c) Use of teaching aids				
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	05	05	05	05
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	04	05	04	05
6	Support for the development of students' skill	05	04	05	05
	(i) Practical Demonstration				
	(ii) Hands on training				
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	05	05	05	05
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	04	05	05	04
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	05	05	04	05
	<b>Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>



## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

<b>Academic Year</b>	2025-26	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	4 <sup>th</sup> /Civil Engineering
<b>Course</b>	B Tech	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	20/5/26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Survey Field Work	Geotechnical Laboratory	Water Supply & Sanitary Engineering Lab.	Computer Aided Design
		Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Nibedita Behera	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury	Prof. Samyukta Choudhury
1	All equipments were in working condition.	5	5	5	5
2	The lab manuals were available.	5	5	5	5
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	5	4	5	5
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her own hands.	5	5	5	5
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	5	5	5	5
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	5	5	4	5
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	5	5	5	5
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	5	5	5	5
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	04	5	5	4
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	5	5	5	5
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	5	4	4	5
	<b>Total</b>	54	53	53	54

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-2026	Semester/ Branch	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Course	MCA	Date of Feedback	25/05/2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Data Structure	Computer Organization and Architecture	Object-Oriented Programming using JAVA	Theory of Computation	Software Engineering	Universal Human Values & Professional Ethics
		Prof. Jashasmita Pal	Prof. Kamalakanta Pradhan	Prof. SucharitaNathsharma	Prof. Jashasmita Pal	Prof. ChoudhuriSaswatPattnaik	Dr. Jitendra Kumar Kar
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	05	05	05	05	05	05
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	05	5	5	5	5	5
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	05	5	5	5	5	5
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content						
	(b) Communication skills						
	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	05	5	5	5	5	5
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	05	5	5	5	5	5
6	Support for the development of students' skill	05	5	5	5	5	5
	(i) Practical Demonstration						
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	05	5	5	5	5	5
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	5	5	5	5	5	5
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	5	5	5	5	5	5
	<b>Total</b>	45	45	45	45	45	45

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-2026	Semester/ Branch	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Course	MCA	Date of Feedback	25/05/26

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No.	Parameters	Data Structure	Computer Organization and Architecture	Object-Oriented Programming using JAVA	Theory of Computation	Software Engineering	Universal Human Values & Professional Ethics
		Prof. Jashasmita Pal	Prof. Kamalakanta Pradhan	Prof. Sucharita Nathsharma	Prof. Jashasmita Pal	Prof. Choudhuri Saswat Pattnaik	Dr. Jitendra Kumar Kar
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	05	05	05	05	05	05
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	05	05	5	05	5	5
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	05	05	5	5	5	5
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content						
	(b) Communication skills						
	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	05	05	5	5	5	5
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	05	05	5	5	5	5
6	Support for the development of students' skill	05	05	5	5	5	5
	(i) Practical Demonstration						
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	05	05	5	5	5	5
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	05	05	5	5	5	5
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	05	05	05	5	5	5
	<b>Total</b>	45	45	45	45	45	45

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-2026	Semester/ Branch	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Course	MCA	Date of Feedback	25/05/2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Data Structures Lab	Software Engineering Lab	Object-Oriented Programming Lab	Programming in Python Lab
		Prof. Jashasmita Pal	Prof. Kamalakanta Pradhan	Prof. Sucharita Nathsharma	Prof. Priyanka Shit
1	All equipments were in working condition.	5	5	5	5
2	The lab manuals were available.	5	5	5	5
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	5	5	5	5
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her ownhands.	5	5	5	5
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	5	5	5	5
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	5	5	5	5
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	5	5	5	5
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	5	5	5	5
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	5	5	5	5
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	5	5	5	5
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	5	5	5	5
Total		45	45	45	45



## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Laboratory Subjects)

Academic Year	2025-2026	Semester/ Branch	2 <sup>nd</sup>
Course	MCA	Date of Feedback	25/05/2026

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the laboratory he/she is taking

Sl. No	Parameters	Data Structures Lab	Software Engineering Lab	Object-Oriented Programming Lab	Programming in Python Lab
		Prof. Jashasmita Pal	Prof. Kamalakanta Pradhan	Prof. Sucharita Nathsharma	Prof. Priyanka Shit
1	All equipments were in working condition.	5	5	5	5
2	The lab manuals were available.	5	5	5	5
3	The labs were neat and clean with proper labeling and marking of Equipment.	5	5	5	5
4	Each student gets a chance to perform the experiment by his/her ownhands.	5	5	5	5
5	The lab hours were mostly spent on performing experiments.	5	5	5	5
6	The lab teacher was cooperative.	5	5	5	5
7	The lab teacher ensured the smooth conduct of the experiments.	5	5	5	5
8	The lab teacher had full knowledge of the experiments.	5	5	5	5
9	The lab reports were checked timely with proper marking or grading.	5	5	5	5
10	Practical sessional exams were held in time.	5	5	5	5
11	Experiments helped in better understanding of the subject.	5	5	5	5
	Total	45	45	45	45



## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

<b>Academic Year</b>	<b>2025-26</b>	<b>Semester/ Branch</b>	<b>4<sup>th</sup> SEM</b>
<b>Course</b>	<b>MBA</b>	<b>Date of Feedback</b>	<i>14.05.2026</i>

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

<b>Performance</b>	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
<b>Point Rating</b>	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No	Parameters	Consumer Behaviour	Product & Branding Management	Compensation and Benefit Management	Strategic HRM	Strategic Finance Management	Behavioural Finance
		Prof. Saswati Mohanty	Prof. Saswati Mohanty	Prof. Suryakanta Panda	Prof. Suryakanta Panda	Prof. Biswajit Sahoo	Prof. Biswajit Sahoo
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.	5	4	5	4		
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.	5	4	5	4		
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	5	5	5	5		
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content						
	(b) Communication skills						
	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.	4	5	4	5		
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.	5	5	5	5		
6	Support for the development of students' skill	5	5	5	5		
	(i) Practical Demonstration						
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.	5	5	4	5		
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.	4	4	5	5		
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	5	5	5	5		
	<b>Total</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>43</b>		



# Radhakrishna Institute of Technology and Engineering

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to Biju Pattnaik University of Technology, Rourkela, Odisha)

Plot No.-01, IDCO Industrial Estate, Barunei, Khordha-752057, Odisha

E-mail: [riteodisha@gmail.com](mailto:riteodisha@gmail.com)

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK FORM (For Theory Papers)

**Dear Student:** This questionnaire seeks a feedback from you to strengthen the quality of teaching-learning environment and to look for newer ways to improve teachers' performance in classroom engagement with students so as to bring excellence in teaching and learning. Kindly respond to each and every statement. Your independent and well considered response will contribute to College's ongoing efforts for achieving academic excellence. Your response will remain strictly confidential.

Academic Year	2025-26	Semester/ Branch	4 <sup>th</sup> SEM
Course	MBA	Date of Feedback	14/05/25

1. Give your unbiased rating, as you feel genuine.
2. Give your rating on different parameters in 5 point scale as follows:

Performance	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Point Rating	05	04	03	02	01

3. Enter your rating on different parameters for the teacher under the subject he/she is teaching

Sl. No	Parameters	Consumer Behaviour	Product & Branding Management	Compensation and Benefit Management	Strategic HRM	Strategic Finance Management	Behavioural Finance
		Prof. Saswati Mohanty	Prof. Saswati Mohanty	Prof. Suryakanta Panda	Prof. Suryakanta Panda	Prof. Biswajit Sahoo	Prof. Biswajit Sahoo
1	Has the Teacher covered entire syllabus as prescribed by University.			05	05	05	05
2	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond the syllabus.			04	05	05	05
3	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:						
	(a) Technical Content/Course Content			05	04	05	05
	(b) Communication skills						
	(c) Use of teaching aids						
4	Pace on which contents were covered.			05	05	04	05
5	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn.			05	05	05	05
6	Support for the development of students' skill			05	04	05	04
	(i) Practical Demonstration						
	(ii) Hands on training						
7	Clarity of expectation of students.			05	05	04	04
8	Feedback provided on Students' progress.			05	04	05	05
9	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.			05	04	04	05
	<b>Total</b>			44	41	42	43



